Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Savarimuthu Francis,<sup>a</sup> Packianathan Thomas Muthiah,<sup>a</sup>\* Gabriele Bocelli<sup>b</sup> and Andrea Cantoni<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India, and <sup>b</sup>IMEM-CNR, Parco Area delle Scienze 17/a, I-43100 Parma, Italy

Correspondence e-mail: tommtrichy@yahoo.co.in

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.090 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Polymeric diaqua( $\mu$ -8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline-5-sulfonato- $\kappa^4 N$ ,O,O',O'')copper(II) tetrahydrate

In the title complex,  $\{[Cu(C_9H_4INO_4S)(H_2O)_2]\cdot 4H_2O\}_n$ , the coordination geometry around copper is distorted octahedral, the Cu atom being surrounded by two O atoms of the sulfonate group, N and O atoms of the oxine moiety (bidentate chelation) and two water molecules. The O atoms of the sulfonate group bridge the Cu atoms, leading to a polymeric ladder network. The copper ions and the sulfonate groups constitute the rails of the ladder and the oxine motifs act as the rungs. Channels of water molecules are present between these ladders.

### Comment

The investigation of three-dimensional networks constructed by metal sulfonates through various modes of coordination is of current interest (Cai et al., 2001; Onoda et al., 2001; Cote et al., 2002). 8-Hydroxyquinoline (oxine) and its derivatives are well known for their antifungal, antibacterial and antiamoebic activities (Bambury, 1979). Oxine forms complexes with a large number of inorganic ions and so do most of its derivatives (Palenik, 1964; Hughes & Truter, 1977). In 8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid (HQS) the sulfonic acid group offers additional metal binding sites. In the crystal structure of a Cu complex of HQS, both dimeric and polymeric motifs have been observed (Petit, Ammor et al., 1993; Petit, Coquerel et al., 1993). In the Ni complex of HQS, only metal chelation by N and quinolinol O atoms has been observed and the O atoms of the sulfonate group are not involved in the coordination (Raj et al., 2001). In the Na complex of HQS, all the O atoms of the sulfonate group are involved in coordination, along with bidentate chelation of the oxine ring (Raj et al., 2002). The crystal structures of Ni (Raj et al., 2003), Zn (Francis et al., 2003) and Co (Balasubramanian, 1995) complexes of 8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline-5-sulfonate (ferron) have also been reported from our laboratory. They are isomorphous and have cage-like dimeric motifs. In the title complex, (I), the metal binding mode of the ligand is different from those in the other structures cited above.



© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 6 October 2003 Accepted 27 October 2003 Online 22 November 2003





The coordination geometry around copper is distorted octahedral. The Cu atom is surrounded by O atoms (O1 and O3) of two different sulfonate groups, N and O atoms (bidentate chelation) of the oxine moiety and two water molecules. The two O atoms of the sulfonate groups are in axial positions and the N and O atoms of the oxine ring and the water molecules occupy equatorial positions. The bond distances and angles (Table 1) agree with those of related copper complexes (Aller et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2003; Cai et al., 2001). The O atoms of the sulfonate groups bridge the Cu atoms, leading to a polymeric ladder network, as shown in Fig. 1. In this polymeric ladder, neighbouring monomers are related by inversion centres. The copper ions and the sulfonate groups constitute the rails of the ladder and the oxine motifs act as the rungs. Channels of water molecules are present between these ladders (Fig. 2).

The coordinated water molecules O1W and O2W act as hydrogen-bond donors to the uncoordinated water molecules O3W and O5W, respectively. O5W also acts as an acceptor to O3W, forming an eight-membered  $[R_3^1(8)]$  ring. The sulfonate atom O3 acts as hydrogen-bond acceptor to the water molecule O5W, and O5W donates hydrogen to O6W. Furthermore, O6W acts as a hydrogen-bond acceptor to the coordinated water molecule O1W, forming a ten-membered hydrogen-bonded  $[R_2^2(10)]$  ring. Atoms O2W, O5W, O6W and sulfonate O3 are involved in hydrogen bonds, generating another ten-membered hydrogen-bonded  $[R_3^3(10)]$  ring. Water molecule O4W acts as an acceptor to the coordinated water molecule O2W and also acts as a hydrogen-bond donor to sulfonate atom O2, forming an eight-membered  $[R_2^2(8)]$  ring (Table 2).

The oxine rings are stacked over one another through  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions. The interplanar and centroid-tocentroid distances and the slip angle (defined as the angle between the plane normal and the line joining the centroids) are 3.380 Å, 3.591 Å and 17.7°, respectively. In this crystal structure, intermolecular I···O interactions [I···O2<sup>vi</sup> =



Figure 2 Supramolecular ladders in complex (I).

3.3539 Å and I····O4 $W^{vii}$  = 3.6971 Å; symmetry codes: (vi) 1 - x 1 - y, -z; (vii) x, y - 1, z] are observed. These types of halogen–oxygen interactions have also been observed in the crystal structures of ferron and its complexes (Balasubramanian & Muthiah, 1996; Raj *et al.*, 2003).

## **Experimental**

Hot aqueous solutions of 8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline-5-sulfonic acid (ferron) (85 mg, Riedel de–Haen) and trimethoprim (73 mg, Shilpa Antibiotics Ltd) were mixed in a 1:1 molar ratio and warmed over a water bath for 1 h. On adding a hot aqueous solution of  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$  (62 mg, Merck) to the above solution, the colour changed from orange to green. The resulting mixture was warmed over a water bath for 1 h. The green precipitate was collected. Plate-shaped green crystals were recrystallized from an acetone–water (1:1) mixture.

Crystal data	
$[Cu(C_9H_4INO_4S)(H_2O)_2]\cdot 4H_2O$	$D_x = 2.153 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 520.75$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P_{2_1}/c$	Cell parameters from 14839
a = 7.321(2) Å	reflections
b = 9.682(3)  Å	$\theta = 1.8-28.2^{\circ}$
c = 22.817 (3)  Å	$\mu = 3.46 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 96.54 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
V = 1606.8 (7) Å <sup>3</sup>	Plate, green
Z = 4	$0.34 \times 0.25 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD	3625 independent reflections
diffractometer	2847 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\omega$ –2 $\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.143$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.2^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.367, \ T_{\max} = 0.594$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
14839 measured reflections	$l = -29 \rightarrow 29$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0391P)^2]$		
$wR(F^2) = 0.090$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$		
S = 1.07	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.75 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$		
3625 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$		
208 parameters			

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu-O1W	1.957 (3)	Cu-N1	1.982 (3)
Cu-O2W	1.966 (2)	Cu-O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.436 (3)
Cu-O4	1.963 (2)	Cu-O3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.615 (3)
O1W - Cu - O2W	90 94 (12)	$\Omega^2 W - Cu - \Omega^{3ii}$	81.06 (11)
O1W-Cu-O4	88.94 (11)	O4-Cu-N1	83.86 (11)
O1W-Cu-N1	171.62 (12)	$O1^{i}$ -Cu-O4	79.15 (11)
$O1^i - Cu - O1W$	92.09 (11)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> -Cu-O4	104.59 (11)
O1W-Cu-O3 <sup>ii</sup>	82.20 (11)	O1 <sup>i</sup> -Cu-N1	90.76 (11)
O2W-Cu-O4	174.27 (13)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> -Cu-N1	95.45 (11)
O2W-Cu-N1	96.66 (12)	O1 <sup>i</sup> -Cu-O3 <sup>ii</sup>	173.04 (9)
O1 <sup>i</sup> -Cu-O2W	95.13 (11)		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z; (ii) 2 - x, -y, -z.

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1W-H1W\cdots O3W$	0.80	1.93	2.719 (4)	169
$O1W - H2W \cdots O6W$	0.80	1.92	2.720 (4)	175
$O2W - H3W \cdot \cdot \cdot O4W$	0.82	1.88	2.684 (4)	170
$O2W - H4W \cdot \cdot \cdot O5W$	0.80	2.09	2.866 (5)	161
$O3W - H5W \cdot \cdot \cdot O5W$	0.79	1.97	2.750 (5)	171
O3W−H6W···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.81	1.97	2.785 (4)	178
$O4W - H7W \cdot \cdot \cdot O3W^{i}$	0.80	2.00	2.795 (4)	167
O4W−H8W···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.81	2.00	2.794 (4)	166
$O5W - H9W \cdot \cdot \cdot O6W^{iii}$	0.81	2.12	2.899 (5)	162
$O5W-H10W\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.90	2.08	2.975 (5)	173
$O6W-H11W\cdots O3^{v}$	0.81	2.18	2.955 (4)	163

Symmetry codes: (i)  $2 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) 2 - x, -y, -z; (iii)  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (iv)  $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (v)  $x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ .

The H atoms of the aromatic groups were positioned geometrically and those of water molecules were obtained in difference Fourier map. All were treated as riding atoms, with C–H distances of 0.93 Å and O–H distances of 0.79–0.90 Å, with isotropic displacement parameters of 1.2 times  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atom. The deepest hole lies close to the I atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

SF thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India, for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship (reference No. 9/475(109)2002 EMR–I).

### References

- Aller, C., Castro, J., Paulo, P.-L., Labisbal, E. & Jose, A. G.-V. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, m155–m157.
- Bambury, R. E. (1979). Burger's Medicinal Chemistry, Part II, edited by M. E. Wolff, pp. 41–81. New York: John Wiley.
- Balasubramanian, T. P. (1995). PhD thesis, Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, India.
- Balasubramanian, T. & Muthiah, P. T. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 2072–2073.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT, SMART and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cai, J., Chen, C.-H., Feng, X.-L., Liao, C.-Z. & Chen, X.-M. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2370–2375.
- Cote, A. P., Ferguson, M. J., Khen, K. A., Enright, G. D., Kulynych, A. D., Dalrymple, S. A. & Shimizu, G. K. H. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* 41, 287–292.

Francis, S., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Cantoni, A. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m87–m90.

Hughes, D. L. & Truter, M. R. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 520-527.

Ma, J.-F., Yang, J. & Liu, J.-F. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m485-m486.

- Onoda, A., Yamada, Y., Doi, M., Okamura, T. & Ueyama, N. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* 40, 516–521.
- Palenik, G. J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 696-700.
- Petit, S., Ammor, S., Coquerel, G., Mayer, C. & Perez, G. (1993). Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 30, 497–507.
- Petit, S., Coquerel, G. & Perez, G. (1993). New J. Chem. 17, 187-192.
- Raj, S. B., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Righi, L. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m591– m594.
- Raj, S. B., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Olla, R. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m513– m516.
- Raj, S. B., Muthiah, P. T., Rychlewska, U., Warzajtis, B., Bocelli, G. & Olla, R. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m46–m49.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (1997). PLATON97. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.